

Please Educate Me

5 messages

J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com>
To: delainefaris@me.com


Mon, Jul 7, 2025 at 3:39 PM

Good morning, Delaine.

This was sent to me over the weekend. It shows that you reported a post by David Hopkins as False News. I'm curious, what about this post is false in your opinion?

Thanks in advance for your insight.

- J.



Delaine Faris <delainefaris@me.com>
To: J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com>

Mon, Jul 7, 2025 at 10:43 PM

Good evening J,

My team and I support the Election Committee and congratulate you on qualifying to run for the Board. If you would like to discuss the Meta report, you are welcome to call me at your convenience 706-268-3002 during working hours.

Our best regards to you,
Delaine

Sent from my iPhone

> On Jul 7, 2025, at 3:39 PM, J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com> wrote:

>

>

> Good morning, Delaine.

>

> This was sent to me over the weekend. It shows that you reported a post by David Hopkins as False News. I'm curious, what about this post is false in your opinion?

>

> Thanks in advance for your insight.

>

> - J.

>

[Quoted text hidden]

J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com>
To: Delaine Faris <delainefaris@me.com>

Tue, Jul 8, 2025 at 9:52 AM

Good morning, Delaine.

Thank you for your response and kind words.

That said, I'm a bit confused. I asked a straightforward question about why you reported David Hopkins' post as "false news," but your response shifted the topic to the Election Committee and my candidacy. Could you clarify how that's relevant to the question I asked?

Regarding the reported post, I appreciate the invitation to call, but I'd prefer to keep this in writing for clarity and transparency. Since the report labels the post as "False News," I believe it's fair to ask what specific part of the content was deemed false — especially considering that David's post includes details of where the data came from (which I verified), not speculative claims. I know David has a reputation for posting aggressive or inflammatory comments towards the POA, but I don't see how this post in particular presents a problem.

If you're able to clarify in writing what you believe is inaccurate or problematic, I'd appreciate it. I'm simply trying to understand what I'm missing. Again, please educate me.

Best regards,

- J.

[Quoted text hidden]

Delaine Faris <delainefaris@me.com>
To: J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com>

Tue, Jul 8, 2025 at 1:13 PM

Good afternoon,

It's not relevant. It's a genuine gesture of looking forward to meeting you and working with the candidates. You clearly feel that, as an administrator, you have validated the post in question, so this is closed. Meta offers educational content for new page administrators on this and other relevant topics.

Our best to you,
Delaine

[Quoted text hidden]

J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com>
To: Delaine Faris <delainefaris@me.com>

Tue, Jul 8, 2025 at 2:28 PM

Good afternoon, Delaine —

Thanks again for your reply and for the clarification about your earlier comment. I appreciate the kind gesture, and I'm genuinely looking forward to getting to know you and working together — even if we don't always approach things the same way.

That said, while I understand that you may feel the matter is closed, I'm still hoping for clarity about the specific reason David Hopkins' post was reported as "False News." To be clear, my role as an administrator isn't relevant here — I'm not asking on behalf of a page or a group, just as a fellow member of the community who values open and honest dialogue.

Given your position as Director of Communications, I know you understand how important clarity, transparency, and trust are — especially when it comes to how information is labeled and shared in a public forum. This isn't about assigning blame; it's about understanding the standards being applied so we can avoid confusion or misinterpretation in the future.

I believe we both want what's best for the community, and that includes creating space for respectful conversation — even when there are disagreements. If we can agree on that, I'm confident we can navigate any differences productively.

Thanks again for your time, and I remain open to your perspective — now or whenever it feels right to share it.

Best regards,

- J.

[Quoted text hidden]