

Re: Mailing Labels

2 messages

Fred Schultz <fschu65488@aol.com>
To: J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com>

Fri, Aug 29, 2025 at 8:40 AM

Good morning J.

I have now had the opportunity to research and review your question and concern regarding providing property owner mailing labels to Board candidates.

I'll start with the language contained in the document Election Process and Expectations (Section II, items 2,3 and 4) in the Candidate Information Package that is provided to prospective Board candidates at informational meetings that occur early in the election process prior to candidates officially declaring their candidacy. In speaking with individuals involved at the time this language was implemented to address a specific concern in 2012. This language should have been removed from the Candidate Information package many years ago but unfortunately it was never caught, and this language has continued to be included in Candidate Information packages since then. I could not find any documentation prior to 2022, but since 2022 no candidate has requested the mailing labels until you requested it this year. Now that it has been identified, it will likely be eliminated for future elections for reasons of cost and efficiency, as well as to not overburden our property owners with emails and mailings which they may or may not want to receive.

In the attached communication on July 25, 2025 to all candidates from former EC Chair Liz Littleton regarding the mailing labels, she indicated the reasons why the Election Committee was concerned about providing the property owner mailing labels to candidates, including overwhelming our property owners with emails and mailings, and instead offered a one joint email to be sent from the Election Committee to all registered property owners containing a message from each candidate during the primary election. She further also provided additional information regarding the timing and the logistics of this email to property owners. All candidates took advantage of this offer, and the joint email was sent to property owners.

In reviewing the July 25th communication, it was clear to me that the intent was to state the joint email from candidates was in lieu of providing the mailing labels but agree it perhaps could have been expressed more emphatically in the communication.

Given that no candidate received the property owner mailing labels and all candidates were offered and accepted the offer to provide their information in the joint email that was sent to property owners, I believe all candidates were treated fairly and equitably.

So, just to be clear, the property owner mailing labels will not be provided to candidates for this year's Primary and General Election. It is unfortunate that this year's Candidate Information package included the language regarding the mailing labels, but I believe the offer for the joint candidate email to property owners was fair and equitable for all candidates.

Thanks

Fred Schultz

2025 Election Committee

On Aug 27, 2025, at 9:43 AM, J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com> wrote:

Thank you for jumping into this so quickly and being responsive. I appreciate your help and look forward to meeting you soon.

On Wed, Aug 27, 2025 at 9:34 AM FRED SCHULTZ <fschu65488@aol.com> wrote:

I am aware of the language regarding mailing labels that was included in the candidate packages. I am meeting with the current election committee members late this afternoon to better understand what was communicated to each candidate regarding the mailing labels. Fred

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 27, 2025, at 9:09 AM, J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com> wrote:

Thank you, Fred. The Candidate Information Packet says the POA will provide mailing address labels with a minimum 5 working days notice. I interpret that to mean the labels would be ready for pickup this Saturday, Monday at the latest. It doesn't say who is responsible for prepared them or where I would pick them up.

Appreciate your help with this.

- J.

On Tue, Aug 26, 2025 at 4:33 PM FRED SCHULTZ <fschu65488@aol.com> wrote:

Thanks for your email J. I will look into this with the election committee to understand the issue and get back to you as soon as I can.

Fred

Sent from my iPhone

On Aug 26, 2025, at 1:29 PM, J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com> wrote:

Resending this urgent request to include Mr. Schultz.

Thank you for your timely attention to this matter.

On Mon, Aug 25, 2025 at 9:46 PM J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com> wrote: | Ms. Littleton.

How, when, and where can I pick up the mailing labels promised to candidates? I would like to pick mine up as soon as possible.

Thank you,

- J.

J Cornelius <inbox@jcornelius.com>
To: Fred Schultz <fschu65488@aol.com>

Fri, Aug 29, 2025 at 10:22 AM

Fred.

With all due respect, it seems you may have misunderstood the email from Ms. Littleton to which you're referring. The subject of that email is "Clarifying Candidate Email to Property Owners during Primary". It goes on to say...

It's been brought to the Election Committee's attention that Section II, Bullet 2 states:

"The POA will permit candidates to submit campaign material in digital format for broadcasting emailing to all registered Property Owners no more often than once every two weeks. (No material negative to another Property Owner, the Association, or the Company will be permitted)."

Ms. Littleton's response was to my inquiry about Section II, Bullet 2 of the Candidate Information Packet which states:

The POA will permit candidates to submit campaign material in digital format for broadcast emailing to all registered Property Owners no more often than once every two weeks. (No material negative to another Property Owner, the Association, or the Company will be permitted.)

This clearly refers to sending email, not postal mail. In fact, there is no reference to postal mail or mailing labels in her email.

Should you uphold your decision not to supply mailing labels, it will not be the first time the Election Committee has decided to change the rules after I've made a request related to something clearly permitted in previous elections and outlined in the information packet provided to me. This selective enforcement reinforces the appearance of bias by the POA and EC.

While I understand and agree with the notion of revisiting and modernizing the election process, the time to do so is not during an active election.

I welcome a conversation about this at your convenience.

- J.

[Quoted text hidden]